



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,556	01/11/2002	Roy Frank Brabson	RSW920010159US1	1822
7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER		
Jerry W. Herndon			FLEARY, CAROLYN FATIMAH	
IBM Corporation T81/503 P.O. Box 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			2152	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/045,556	BRABSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carolyn F. Fleary	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 January 2002</u> .						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contract	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of National Transport (PTO-932)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/045,556

Art Unit: 2152

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

I. Claims 1-21, 23 drawn to Subject matter further comprising means or steps for correcting or modifying the data transfer operation to conform with the operating conditions

of the computers, classified in class 709, subclass 232.

II. Claims 22 and 24, drawn to Subject matter comprising means or steps for managing

the resources of the computers connected by a computer network or of the network itself,

classified in class 709, subclass 223.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed

as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different

effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions Group I and

Group II are two different combinations having different modes of operation, different functions and

different effects.

2. Because these inventions are unrelated for the reasons given above and because the search

required for each group is different and not co-extensive for examination purpose because these groups

would require different searches on PTO's classification class and subclass e.g. a) the Group I search

(Claims 1-21, 23) would require use of search classified in Class 709, subclasses 232, and b) the Group

Il search (claims 22-24) would require use of search Class 709, subclasses 232, therefore restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to one of the above-identified independent groups of

designs. A reply to this requirement must include an election of a single group for prosecution on the

merits, even if this requirement is traversed, 37 CFR 1.143. Any reply that does not include election of a

single group will be held non-responsive. Applicant is also requested to direct cancellation of all drawing

figures and the corresponding descriptions, which are directed to the non-elected.

4. Should applicant traverse this requirement on the grounds that the groups are not patentably

independent, applicant should present evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

groups to be obvious variations of one another. If the groups are determined not to be independent and

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/045,556

Art Unit: 2152

they remain in this application, any rejection of one group over prior art will apply equally to all other

embodiments. See Ex parte Appeal No. 315-40, 152 USPQ 71 (Bd. App. 1965). No argument asserting

patentability based on the differences between the groups will be considered once the groups have been

determined to comprise a single inventive concept.

5. In view of the above requirement, action on the merits is deferred pending compliance with the

requirement in accordance with Ex parte Heckman, 135 USPQ 229 (P.O. Super. Exam. 1960).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Carolyn F. Fleary whose telephone number is (571) 572-7218. The examiner can normally

be reached on 8:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carolyn F Fleary Examiner

Art Unit 2152

**CFF** 

GLENTON B. BURGESS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 3

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**